Present

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Mis 131 31 7-7
88 264-2

1933.
(Muzrai)

File No. 273 A-33
Serial Nos. 1 to 5

(2-1)

Later References.
601-35-1-2
379-39-1-4.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for June 34

SUBJECT.

Appeal from the Sri Parakala Mutt praying for the restoration of certain Vrittis & Mutt claim for certain Vrittis share in Nivedi Krishna charities

To Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate Bangalore City

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No. 1682 ff. 20 6-34.

Rejects the petition

General and Revenue Secretariat — Misc File No. 2134 of 1932

Serial No.

Petition of 26/9/32 filed by Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate Bangalore city on behalf of Sri Parakala Mutt represented by the Honorary Superintendent thereof viz the Srinivasaraghavachar Mysore — praying for restoration of the mutt's claim for certain Vritti Share in Nadi Krishna Dasa Charities

(1) Similar representation have been stated in the past. It is stated that it was open to the mutt to have advanced its claim, if any for a share of Vritti in question, long before the passing of order Vri. Sec no 13/17-21/Misc 264-22-9 8/7/14/26

Page in the Index file

(2) The request of Sri Parakala mutt for the restoration of her claim to the Vritti hitherto has now been allowed for by Sri P. [illegible] and hitherto stated. Vide order no 91/Misc 4 22/7/32

× On the 2nd Index file

(3) How far the [illegible] of orders in the matter as the direction para 8 of the P.W.S. is possible in the case for consideration since from that he is [illegible] forward a claim to certain Vritti Share.

(4) Kind reference is invited to the decision in para 19 of Misc 131-31-4 in the file wherein it has been stated that the old cases do not [illegible]

page-4. Page 8.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

File No. of 1931-2 General and Revenu Secretariat

In view of this the petitioner may be informed of above that the request is not possible at this juncture of time — Respectfully submitted

GS
Jan/7/32 - AD

The points for consideration are:-

(1) whether the petitioner Matt was the vrittidar and whether it was in possession and enjoyment of the vritti at the time the Vruthadars' rights were recognised by Government in G.O. No. 797-8/Revg. 8/94 Dated 25-12-98

(2) Who was enjoying the vritti at the time the loan was granted to Kuppamma

(3) and whether from the circumstances of the case, the Mutt is not Estopped from making any manner of claim now.

The Silmn note of the then 1st Mr dated 16-2-26 contains a detailed account of the case. Loans were to be given to the extent of the vritti amounts due to the Vruthadars from 1886-1894, the period during which

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mis 3 File No. 27 A of 1932-33

Serial No.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY'S OFFICE
20 OCT. 1932
2393

Her Inam was under Govt management and Kuppamma would not have been advanced the loan if she had not satisfied the local authorities about the enjoyment of the Vritti

1) It is for orders if a report has to be called for on the Petn in view to the Statement at para 8 thereof

Fl Secy) MSX 3/10/32

(Sd. M V)

8) Sr 19/10

A report may be called for from M C

Bund 20 10 32

10) Sr 21/10

11) An advance copy of the Advocate for the Petitioner has been furnished for kind Signature

W.S. Sd. Govinda Nicoto.

A.G. & G.S. 24/10

Secy 26/10

No. 667/95 27. 10. 32 to the Magistrate calling for a report on

TYPING SECTION 26 OCT. 1932 GENERAL DEPT.

4)

Mug File No. 273 of 1933. | General and Sec

Mz 13 551

Memo No 668 dy 27.10.32 to the Advocate for the petitioner stating that the request is receiving attention

Letter No C 447/32-33 dy 10/5/34 from the Mugrai Commissioner stating that the lands said to Tamil Cankanam can not be reclaimed for Sri Parakala Mutt & that it is hereditary & not reclaimable.

(i) The lands pertaining to Navil Krishna Dass Cankanam now claimed by Sri Parakala Mutt is reported to have been sold away by the then Sri... of the Mutt to one Bageppalli Srinivasachar in 1833: the alienation having been made prior to 1833 the M.C. says that it can not be reclaimed under the Chief Court's Notfn of 1847.

(ii) For both of the opinion may be accepted & the petr informed that his request cannot be complied with

(iii) The opinion of the MC may be accepted & the petition rejected

30/5/34

2nd Mr)

Mr 5/6.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 6 JUNE 1934 NO 8025

Dft Issued

Dispd 15/6

Dispd 2/6/6

2/6

Mag File No. 3 A 4 of 193

Serial No.	
	Letter no 1652 dy 20.6.1934 to Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate for the petitioner stating that the petition is rejected.
	Memo no 1653 dy 20-6-1934 to the Honorary Superintendent of Sri Parakala Mutt Mysore forwarding copy of the above for information.
	No 1654 dy 20.6.1934 to the Mysore Commissioner returning records received vide his letter of 10/6/34 and also forwarding a copy of the above Endorsement

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.		

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
373
28 SEP 1932
MUZRAI.

PEES

Presented by Sri V. Raghavan Iyengar in person. Atm 27/9

Muzrai Pr

Mis 2734-33 -

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Mis. Review Petn. No. of 32-33.

Petitioner:- SREE PARAKALA MUTT by Hon: Superintendant SRINIVASA-RAGHAVACHAR, Sree Parakala Mutt, MYSORE.

Peshr 27/9/32

The Petitioner abovenamed respectfully begs to state as follows:-

1. That by nirup dated 8. 3. 1820 H. H. The Maharaja Sree Krishnaraja Wadiyar III - granted to Nowl Krishna Das an inam of the villages Turuganur and Basavanahalli as Sarva-manya for maintenance of certain charitable - institutions viz., a chattram, a temple and an agrahar at Paschimavahini.

2. That by a Sannad dated Nowl Krishna Das the grandson of the original grantee, granted in his turn to the Petitioner Mutt a - vritti and a house out of the Sarvamanya.

3. That the Petitioner Mutt by a deed - dated granted the said house and vritti to one Doddabele Srinivasachar, to live in the -

house

house and enjoy the vritti and generally carry out the intention of the grant by His Highness.

4. That since the grant of Doddabele Srinivasachar was not made with the consent of His Highness, it was directed to be resumed by the Mutt by an order of His Highness of the year 1866-67. It was accordingly resumed by the Mutt and held in its own possession.

5. That in or about the year 1886 the villages were taken under Government Management and finally resumed to Government in 1894 by an order dated 16. 7. 1894.

6. That the right of the vrittidars to their respective shares in the income from the villages during the years 1886-1894 during which the inam was under Government Management was recognised by Government in their order dated 25. 12. 1898.

7. That with a view however to carry out the wishes of His Highness to develop an agrahar with lands attached thereto, the Government by their order dated 17.8.1904 authorised the Deputy Commissioner to grant as loan to such vrittidars as undertook to build a house within a year such sum as may be required therefor not exceeding the income due and recoverable by the vrittidar and on taking proper security.

8. That

8. That the Petitioner Mutt was not aware of this order which was passed on the application of some vrittidars.

9. That one Kuppamma, alleged to be the wife of the grandson of the original - grantee from the Mutt viz., Srinivasachar, appears to have applied for a loan of Rs.500/- and obtained the same. She however failed to build the house as promised. As a - - matter of fact she could not do so since she was not entitled at all, the Mutt having resumed the land and house.

10. That the loan granted to Kuppamma has therefore been directed to be recovered by order of Government dated 17. 4. 1926. - It has, so the Petitioner Mutt learns, not yet been recovered.

Govt. 1317-21
Mug 264-22-9
of 7/Jul 26
(Nagpur)
in the linked file.
2

11. That the Petitioner Mutt is the - grantee of the vritti and house recognised by His Highness and is alone entitled to the income of the lands from 1886 up to date, and such other concessions as may be available to the vrittidars.

12. That the Petitioner Mutt is ready and willing to build a house or houses and to do all such acts as may be necessary or desirable to promote the intention of His Highness the Maharaja.

The Petitioner

The Petitioner Mutt, therefore, prays that its share of the income of the lands may be granted to it and that the vritti - (the house granted to it having fallen down) may be regranted to it on such condition - regarding building of house etc., as may be deemed necessary or desirable.

BANGALORE,
26. 9. 32.

T.T. Rangaswamy Ayangar

ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONER.



ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

Before the Hon'ble H. H. Narahari
of Mysore, Bangalore

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

1932–1933 ನೇ Petition ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್	ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪ್ಪೊನೆಂಟ್
Sree Sonakala Mutt by Hon: Smt Srinivasachaar	Vs

ಮಾ|| ರಾ|| ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಭಾಷ್ಯಂಅಲಿಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್., ಮತ್ತು
ಎಂ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ, ಬಿ ಎ , ಎಲ್‌ಎಲ್. ಬಿ. ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ

..... Petitioner ..... ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮಾ

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪ್ಪೊರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುನೂಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಪ್ರೋನೆಸ್ಸ್‌ಟ್ಯಾಂಸ್ಸು, ಡಾವಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ರಾಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಹೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೊಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾನ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲ ನಡೆಯಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು | ರುಜು

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತೈರುನಾವ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್

ಯಜರ್ಮ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

ಮೇಕ್ತೈರುನಾವ ಆಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್

26 9. 32

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

To be returned 27/10/32

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 667 in file

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 373 of 32-33 dated 26-9-32 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from The H. of H. Supdt. of Sri Parakala Mutt praying that the halfshare of the income from Shanbhogue lands ~~in~~ of Narasimha Krishnadevaraya Chatra at Parakamavathi may be granted to it on such conditions as may be deemed necessary &c.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~ free of cost to the M. C. ..... for ..... report.

Ens to the petitioners Advocate - - no 668 & 27-10-32

By Order,

for Secretary. 26/10

BANGALORE,
24/10/1932

[P. T. O

WD 0569—GPP—2 250—2-8-27

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ

.....ದೊಳಗೆ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂದಾರ್ಜಿ ದುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ.....

ಮರ್ಕುವಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನ.....ಒಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಖಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order.

Secretary.

C 447/32-33
one copy & one Extract a file.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

GEN 1228

Dated, Bangalore, 7th 193

Mzg 2734-33— 4.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Thamotharam Pillai, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

SIR,

[illegible]

2/5

[illegible]

3. [illegible]

4. [illegible]

5. [illegible]

1. [illegible]

[illegible] sent for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Letter No.H.44/A.C. dated 22-1-34 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysor District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Claim of Sri Parakalaswamy Mutt to certain Vritti lands endowed to Nowl Krishna Doss Charities at Paschimavahini.

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.447 of 32-33 dated 20th November 1932 calling for a report on the petition received from the Honorary Superintendent of the Sri Parakalaswami Mutt praying that the Mutt's share of the income from the vritti lands belonging to Nowl Krishna Dos Charities at Paschimavahini may be regranted to the Mutt, I have the honor to submit herewith the records of enquiry made by the Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk and to state as follows:-

2. Nowl Kishoredos having built a temple, chattram and agrahar houses at Paschimavahini applied to the then Maharaja for the grant of some inam lands for their maintenance. During the year [illegible] the villages of Turuganur and Basavanalalli, T.Narasipur Taluk, were granted as inam (Devadaya, to the charities of His Holiness the late Sri Krishnaraja [illegible]. But during the year 1886, Nowl Krishna Dos, the grandson of the founder of the charity divided the inam lands villages into 32 vrittis and apportioned them as follows:-

	Vrittis.
Temples and chattram	8
Vedantam vritti	1
Agrahar vrittidars	23
	32

Out of the 32 vrittis referred to above 23 were given to the agrahar [illegible] in lieu of [illegible] 3 [illegible] ...

... In the year 1908 [illegible] of the Mutt [illegible] ... [illegible] ... Mutt, [illegible] ... vritti lands ... [illegible] ... after the death of [illegible] ... vritti lands ... [illegible].

4. From the copies of references [illegible] Sri Parakalaswami Mutt before the Collector, [illegible] ... the Mutt sent [illegible] ... previous [illegible] ... in favour of [illegible] ... Collector [illegible] ...

1897. [illegible]

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

652/Mis 2734. 273-33-5

Dated, Bangalore 20th June 1934.

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness, the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Mr. K.T. Bhashyam Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate, Cottonpet, Bangalore City.

~~Sir~~
Dear Sir,

With reference to petition dated 26th September 1932 filed by you on behalf of Sri Parakalaswami Mutt, Mysore, regarding the ~~request~~ request to the Mutt of a certain vritti in Nowl Krishna Doss Charities, Seringapatam Taluk, I am directed to inform you that the request of the Sri Parakalaswami Mutt cannot be complied with. The petition is rejected.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- S. Shamanna,
Financial Secretary to Government.

p.t.o.

ACH.4.

Memo No. 1653

Dated, Bangalore, 20th

Copy to Mr. Thiruvelur Srinivasareghevachar, Ho Superintendent of Sri Parakalaswami Mutt, Mysore, for tion.

By order,

for Financial Secretary to Government

1654/May Income file 4 am cy.

Date, Bangalore, 20th June 1934.

Copy to Inzrai Commissioner returning records received with his etter No.C.4'7 38-39 dated 16th May 1934.

F G—1]

ECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mun

File No. 2734 33

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 1652

To

Sri K T Bhashyam Iyengar Bar.
Advocate. Counsel -
Bangalore City.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 20/6/34

Signed by K T Bhashyam
B. Muddukrishnappa.

Dear Sir.

With reference to petn
26/9/32 filed by you on
behalf of Sri Panchala Mutt
represented by the Mutt
Mysore &c. for restoration of
a certain village in Nadu Hoskote
Dist Chanthi Sangapattana
Tq, I am directed to inform
you that the request of the Mutt
cannot be complied with. The
petn is rejected.

Yours obediently
2/6/6
for Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000— 26-8-32